

STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY OF OHIO
NOVEMBER 21, 2008
APPROVED MEETING MINUTES
RIFFE CENTER, ROOM 31 WEST B&C
COLUMBUS

CURRENT BOARD MEMBERS:

President*: Julie Harmon, Ph.D. – Licensee Member 2009¹
Secretary: Willie Williams, Ph.D.—Licensee Member 2011
Kevin D. Arnold, Ph.D., ABPP – Licensee Member 2008
Ann Kathleen Burlew, Ph.D. – Licensee Member 2010
Jane Z. Woodrow, Ph.D. – Licensee Member 2010
Gayle Lanctot— Consumer Advocate Member 2011
Pam Mattson—Consumer Advocate Organization Representative Member 2012
Kathryn R. Shroder, Ph.D. – Licensee Member 2013
Steven Keller – Consumer Advocate Member 2013

STAFF PRESENT: Ronald R. Ross, Ph.D., Executive Director; Kelli Coleman DelGuzzo, Investigator; Carolyn Knauss, Investigator

LEGAL COUNSEL: Roger F. Carroll, Principal Assistant Attorney General

VISITORS: Michael Ranney, Executive Director, OPA; Marty Traver, Ph.D., OPA Liaison to the Board; Ann Brennan, Ohio School Psychologists Association (OSPA); Glenn Karr, Attorney at Law.

10:30 AM MEETING CALLED TO ORDER by Dr. Harmon; Dr. Shroder called roll in the absence of Dr. Williams, whose arrival was delayed by road conditions:

Dr. Burlew	Absent
Ms. Mattson	Present
Dr. Shroder	Present
Ms. Lanctot	Present
Dr. Arnold	Present
Dr. Woodrow	Present
Dr. Williams	Absent (arrived at 11:15 AM)
Dr. Harmon	Present
Mr. Keller	Present

Dr. Harmon opened the meeting by introducing the Board's newest appointee, Mr. Steven Keller of Bexley. Mr. Keller is the former Federal Public Defender for the Southern District of Ohio, and was appointed by Governor Strickland to the consumer advocate position vacated by Mr. Michael Distelhorst for term ending October 4, 2013. Mr. Keller introduced himself and thanked the Board for the welcome. Dr. Harmon then announced that Dr. Kathryn Shroder of Warren has been reappointed to the Board for a term ending October 4, 2013. Dr. Harmon recognized Dr. Kevin Arnold with thanks and praise for his invaluable service during his six years on the Board. Dr. Arnold shared with the Board that his time on the Board represents the most gratifying professional experience he has had in this career and he wished Mr. Keller the best in his first term.

¹ Year Board Member term ends (terms expire December 4 if not re-appointed or replaced)

* President votes only to break a tie

APPROVAL OF JULY 9, 2008 BUSINESS MEETING MINUTES

Dr. Harmon invited discussion about the July 9, 2008 draft minutes as distributed with the agenda. Ms. Mattson noted that her name was omitted from the initial roll call. Dr. Ross told Ms. Mattson and the Board that the omission was inadvertent and he apologized for the error and agreed to correct it in the final draft. In response to Dr. Harmon's invitation, Ms. Lanctot offered a motion to approve the minutes as amended; Ms. Mattson second.

A vote was taken*

Aye: Dr. Woodrow; Ms. Lanctot; Ms. Mattson; Dr. Shroder; Dr. Williams; Dr. Arnold;

Nay: None

Abstain: Mr. Keller

The motion carried.

APPROVAL OF OCTOBER 15, 2008 SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES

Dr. Harmon invited discussion about the October 15, 2008 draft minutes of the brief Special Meeting as distributed with the agenda. After asking for comments, Dr. Harmon noted that there were none and then sought a motion of approval. Dr. Shroder offered a motion to approve the minutes; Ms. Lanctot second.

A vote was taken*

Aye: Dr. Woodrow; Ms. Lanctot; Ms. Mattson; Dr. Shroder; Dr. Williams;

Nay: None

Abstain: Mr. Keller; Dr. Arnold

The motion carried.

CONSENT AGENDA

Dr. Harmon invited the Board to review the consent agenda. Specific to the Entrance Examiner's report, Dr. Woodrow asked that the record reflect that she would abstain from approving the licensure of Dr. deGroot. Similarly, Dr. Arnold stated that he would abstain from voting on the licensure of Dr. Whatley and the reinstatement of Dr. Hock. The Entrance Examiner's report as presented for approval for the dates July 1, 2008 through November 12, 2008:

PSYCHOLOGISTS: Under the respective Ohio Revised Code sections

4732.12:

Brenna C. LeJeune, Ph.D.	#6462	Issued: 7/8/2008
Marc D. Kepner, Psy.D.	#6464	Issued: 7/30/2008
Heather D. Lehmkuhl, Ph.D.	#6465	Issued: 7/30/2008
Jessica Elaine Emick, Ph.D.	#6467	Issued: 8/6/2008
Carol Michalak Janotta, Ph.D.	#6468	Issued: 8/6/2008
Brian P. O'Reilly, Ph.D.	#6469	Issued: 8/6/2008

Paul C. Glasier, Ph.D.	#6470	Issued: 8/26/2008
Stephanie V. Ridel, Psy.D	#6472	Issued: 8/26/2008
Jessica Erin Martin, Ph.D.	#6473	Issued: 8/26/2008
Jean Weekley Scott, Ph.D.	#6474	Issued: 8/27/2008
Heather Lynn Johnson, Psy.D.	#6475	Issued: 8/27/2008
Jennifer J. Whatley, Ph.D.	#6476	Issued: 8/27/2008
Sarah E. Reimer, Ph.D.	#6477	Issued: 9/26/2008
Kara Predmore, Psy.D.	#6478	Issued: 9/26/2008
Kathryn Hoy Leugers, Psy.D.	#6479	Issued: 9/26/2008
Melissa K. Lanza, Ph.D.	#6480	Issued: 9/26/2008
Frank D.R. Wood, Ph.D.	#6482	Issued: 9/26/2008
Nicola Kristen Caldwell, Ph.D.	#6483	Issued: 9/26/2008
Martyn Whittingham, Ph.D.	#6486	Issued: 9/26/2008
Nancy Williams Rudwall, Psy.D.	#6487	Issued: 9/26/2008
Trysa Shulman Shy, Psy.D.	#6488	Issued: 10/2/2008
Charlotte Simms Dabbs, Ph.D.	#6489	Issued: 10/2/2008
Stefani L. Hathaway, Ph.D.	#6491	Issued: 11/4/2008
Angela C. Roddenberry, Ph.D.	#6494	Issued: 11/4/2008
Dustin B. Wygant, Ph.D.	#6495	Issued: 11/4/2008
Amy T. Buser, Ph.D.	#6496	Issued: 11/4/2008
Claire A. Robitaille, Ph.D.	#6497	Issued: 11/4/2008

4732.15:

Philip S. Fastenau, Ph.D.	#6463-Indiana	Issued: 7/30/2008
Audrey L. Smith, Ph.D.	#6466-Missouri	Issued: 7/30/2008
Kristin Rodzinka, Ph.D.	#6471-Indiana	Issued: 8/26/2008
Judith H. Skillings, Psy.D	#6481-Massachusetts	Issued: 9/26/2008
James Alan Levy, Ph.D.	#6484-Utah	Issued: 9/26/2008
John Patrick Ackerman, Ph.D.	#6485-Maryland	Issued: 9/26/2008
Heather Amber Jones, Ph.D.	#6490-Pennsylvania	Issued: 10/2/2008
Larry C. James, Ph.D	#6492-Louisiana	Issued: 11/4/2008
John William Uber, Ph.D.	#6493-Pennsylvania	Issued: 11/4/2008

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST:

Christie Marie Walendzak, Ed.S.	#SP581	Issued: 7/8/2008
---------------------------------	--------	------------------

REINSTATEMENTS:

Gary James Brown, M.A	#2491	Issued: 10/16/2008
Francine M. Roberts, Psy.D.	#6228	Issued: 10/21/2008
Cori L. Yaeger, Ph.D.	#5828	Issued: 10/23/2008
Wendy M. Gajarsky, Ph.D.	#4538	Issued: 10/23/2008
Mary Kathleen deGroot, Ph.D.	#5892	Issued: 10/24/2008
James Vincent Wisser, Ph.D.	#6023	Issued: 10/27/2008
Ellen Hock, Ph.D.	#1704	Issued: 10/29/2008
William D. Kennedy, Psy.D.	#5171	Issued: 10/29/2008
William David Saunders, Ph.D.	#3971	Issued: 10/29/2008
Carolee Lesyk, Ph.D.	#2276	Issued: 11/3/2008
Josefina M Grau, Ph.D.	#5230	Issued: 11/3/2008
Patricia S. Hannigan-Farley, Ph.D.	#4390	Issued: 11/3/2008
Stana Lee Paulauskas, Ph.D.	#3772	Issued: 11/6/2008

NON-RESIDENT PERMISSION FOR THIRTY-DAY PRACTICE [4732.22(B)]

Katie Elizabeth Connell, Ph.D.	Minnesota	Issued: 8/28/2008
Paul C. Larson, Ph.D.	Illinois	Issued: 9/8/2008
David Edey Stone, Ph.D.	Georgia	Issued: 9/9/2008
Peter J. Ganshirt, Ph.D.	Kentucky	Issued: 11/10/2008
Jill Hayes, Ph.D.	Louisiana	Issued: 11/10/2008

Dr. Ross expressed his appreciation for the hard work of the Board staff during the recent license renewal process, as noted in the consent agenda. He pointed out the special relationship the Board has with the OPA-MCE Office (Beth Wherley) and the OSPA-MCE Office (Cheryl VandenBerg), whose persistence and friendliness are invaluable during the renewal period. There were no other comments heard relative to the consent agenda. At Dr. Harmon's invitation, Dr. Arnold made a motion to accept the consent agenda with the specific abstentions noted relative to the Entrance Examiner's Report; Dr. Woodrow second.

A vote was taken:

Aye: Dr. Woodrow; Dr. Shroder; Ms. Lanctot; Dr. Williams; Dr. Arnold; Ms. Mattson

Nay: None

Abstain: Mr. Keller; Dr. Woodrow and Dr. Arnold only as noted above

The motion carried.

PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Dr. Harmon extended a welcome to the visitors present before describing her desire to schedule quarterly business meetings of the Board during 2009. After brief discussion and review of schedules, the Board reached consensus to schedule business meetings on Wednesday March 4, 2009 starting at 10:30 AM and Wednesday June 10, 2009 starting at 10:30 AM. Dr. Harmon reported that the next two business meetings in 2009 will be scheduled in March, with dates most likely in September and December, 2009.

Dr. Harmon then announced two appointments to the School Psychology Licensing Committee: Jeanne E. Jenkins, Ph.D. License SP410 was reappointed to the Committee for a term running September 1, 2008 through August 31, 2013; Gregory L. Jones, M.A., License SP238, was appointed to a term beginning January 27, 2009 and ending January 27, 2014. Dr. Shroder, as the Board's ex-officio member of the Committee, described the skills and attributes that each appointee brings to the Committee and offered thanks to Dr. Harmon for the appointments.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

HB503 Update and Rules Committee

Dr. Ross reported that the Board's Rules Committee held its first meeting, on November 20, 2008, concerning drafting rules in anticipation of the passage of HB503. He then turned the Board's attention to a prospective amendment to HB503, which was brought to the Board's attention by Rep. Jon Peterson, one of the bill's primary sponsors. Dr. Ross called attention to the prospective amendment in the handouts. The Board indicated its understanding that the amendment would provide an exception from the bill's academic degree requirements, such that non-accredited or designated programs within regionally accredited institutions that provide instruction "through

distance education” would be recognized as granting qualifying degrees. Discussion was heard focusing on the special carve-out that this would represent, and the fact that it would run contrary to the purpose of requiring that degrees be earned from programs that are recognized by the APA Commission on Accreditation, NR/ASPPB Designation List, NASP, or the Canadian Psychological Association: i.e., to require that minimal doctoral educational standards are met as part of the restructured flexible training model. There was consensus that the prospective amendment would allow “distance learning” programs to avoid adherence to minimal academic program standards. Dr. Ross noted that the Fielding Institute in Santa Barbara, California has a Ph.D. program in clinical psychology which uses “distance learning” technologies and philosophies, and which also has earned accreditation of the APA Commission on Accreditation. Comments were heard from members of the Board and visitors that there is value in distance education models of academic instruction, and that the Board is not opposed to “distance education” per se. It was agreed by those present that all academic programs can seek accreditation or designation, and that the Board is strongly in opposition to any efforts to remove that requirement from HB503. Mr. Ranney and Dr. Ross had an exchange indicating that both OPA and the Board would likely oppose HB503 should it include the prospective amendment.

Dr. Woodrow suggested that the Board should go on the record in opposition to the prospective amendment to HB503, and offered a motion. Dr. Woodrow moved: the Board opposes the prospective amendment to HB503 because the amendment is fundamentally inconsistent with the Boards’ strong support for minimal academic standards within a restructured model of training as is found in HB503; minimal standards through accreditation or designation need to be retained in the bill, irrespective of the use of “distance learning” approaches in the education of psychologists; and, the Board recognizes the value of internet-based education, but the prospective amendment would substantially compromise a major purpose of HB503, which is to legislate minimum standards of education for Ohio’s psychologists; Mr. Keller second.

A vote was taken:

Aye: Dr. Woodrow; Ms. Lanctot; Ms. Mattson; Dr. Shroder; Dr. Williams; Dr. Arnold; Mr. Keller

Nay: None

Abstain: None

The motion carried.

Dr. Ross then resumed his report on the Board’s Rules Committee meeting from November 20, 2008. He described the complexities of identifying pre-internship (“practicum”) experiences that would meet the criteria to be recognized as suitable for the first part of a 2-year sequence of pre-licensure training. He clarified that it was never the intention of the Board or of OPA to develop law and rules that would allow each doctoral program to automatically (without changes at the practicum level) have each student license eligible upon receipt of a qualifying doctoral degree. He cautioned the Board that there cannot be an approach based on the “least common denominator,” such that programs with the lowest amount of pre-internship training hours would be the target for pending rules. He reported that it seems wise to identify reasonable pre-internship experiences as the target and there will be programs which meet the requirements and other programs that would need to make programmatic changes if they want their graduates to be license eligible without any post-doctoral training.

Mr. Ranney called attention to the positive partnerships among the Board and stakeholders (including OPA and OSPa) in this major process, and he thanked the Board for its initiative in beginning the rule-making process even before the law is enacted.

Dr. Harmon, who chaired the Rules Committee meeting on November 20, emphasized for the Board and the visitors that this is a groundbreaking process, given the goal of the Board and the associations to promulgate rules which will include clear guidelines about the nature and quantity of pre-internship training experiences, and the product could likely serve as a model for other jurisdictions to follow if they see statutory changes similar to what Ohio is anticipating. Dr. Arnold added that the process is being observed nationally, including by policy makers at the APA.

Dr. Woodrow echoed Mr. Ranney's sentiments about appreciating the Board's collaboration with stakeholders and stated that she values such an approach.

Dr. Williams arrived at 11:15 AM and alerted the Board that road conditions were less than favorable in 71 around Mansfield.

Proposed Ohio Healthcare Provider Information Act: Proposed categories

Dr. Ross called the Board's attention to handouts distributed with the agenda and serving to explain the status of a legislative proposal of Rep. Kevin Bacon. The proposal would give the public Internet access to self-identified areas of practice or interest by making changes to the Ohio eLicensing database on a board-by-board basis. Dr. Ross explained to the Board that he participated in a fiscal analysis of the legislative proposal by reporting to the Legislative Services Commission that the Board would likely have approximately 13 categories for psychologists and approximately 3 categories for school psychologists. He emphasized that this was preliminary feedback for the purpose of LSC's initial fiscal review, in that there would need to be strong consensus between the Board and the associations as to the number (and names) of categories and their labels should this prospect become law.

Dr. Arnold initiated a brief discussion relative to the possibility of using published "areas" put forward by the APA's Education Directorate. Dr. Ross stated that this could represent an opportunity to define appropriate uses of the term "board certified," as there are numerous "vanity boards" which are cited by licensees and which do not involve any peer review or other evaluative processes for receipt of such a designation.

Dr. Williams initiated a discussion about how the medical profession handles "board certification," and there were comments heard about how few psychologists seek diplomate status from the American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP).

Dr. Arnold suggested that the Board consider discussing this issue with a representative familiar with the categories published by the Commission for the Recognition of Specialties and Proficiencies in Professional Psychology (CRSPPP). Mr. Ranney offered to assist with getting contact information to Dr. Ross, who also stated that he could contact Robert Nutt at ASPPB.

Ms. Mattson emphasized the importance of using recognized categories that are not likely to cause confusion among members of the public.

Dr. Ross reported that he would keep the Board posted on developments relative to the legislative proposal underpinning this discussion.

Criminal Background Check shelf-life policy

Dr. Ross described his request earlier in the year leading the Board to make policy placing a 90-

day limit on the validity of the results of a criminal background check. He explained to the Board and the visitors that there have been instances such that licensure candidates have been required to submit to a new background check because of circumstances leading to the oral examination not being passed within 90 days of their background check. He focused on the importance of being flexible enough such that out-of-state applicants, most notably, can avoid a second background check process in the event that the initial oral examination is not passed. He provided some data from other Ohio boards indicating that the modal shelf-life was 1 year and that the minimum was 6 months. He asked the Board to change the policy and to allow a background check to be valid for one year.

Dr. Williams made a motion to change the policy from 90 days to one year; Dr. Shroder second.

A vote was taken:

Aye: Dr. Woodrow; Ms. Lanctot; Ms. Mattson; Dr. Shroder; Dr. Williams; Dr. Arnold.

Nay: None

Abstain: Mr. Keller

The motion carried.

Oral Examination Scheduling Procedures and Proposed Orientation Process

Dr. Ross asked the Members of the Board to make special efforts to get oral examination dates to Chiquana Campbell as far in advance as is feasible to foster predictability for staff and licensure candidates. He thanked Dr. Harmon for her efforts to arrange a new site at John Carroll University where she and Dr. Williams can resume administration of oral examinations.

Dr. Ross shared with the Board his ongoing interest in amending the pre-licensure process by considering a "New Licensee Orientation" in lieu of the traditional orally-administered examination of laws and regulations. He emphasized that the oral examination is largely a test of memory, and it is an anxiety-laden process for the candidate, who must memorize significant portions of the laws and rules governing psychologists and school psychologists. He revisited comments he shared with the Board during previous meetings, including the view that a collegial, educational experience might be preferable to an evaluative process for several reasons: We could govern the information by syllabus and call attention to the most noteworthy clients' rights areas of law and rule; it would allow for the use of vignettes and relevant laws and rules as educational aids; it would meet the desire to expose new licensees to the Ohio laws and rules through education instead of memorization in an evaluative context (examination); Because professional psychologists practice with an "open book" it seems worthwhile to orient new licensees to the resources available in lieu of forcing memorization without related case material and context.

He asked the Board for a preliminary sense of this proposal and there were no objections to the concept. There was discussion about using the current examination questions as a starting point for a curriculum and about using a rotation of Board members and the OPA and/or OSPA to accomplish this process.

There was no motion made to amend the current process, although Dr. Arnold and others suggested that we could address this in the pending revision of ORC 4732 by using language consistent with "...shall develop procedures for the evaluation of a license applicant's familiarity with Ohio laws and rules specific to the practice of professional psychology and school psychology" (this might allow for an educational orientation or for an examination process or

both). Discussion was heard about the possibility of administering a pre-test and post-test.

Dr. Arnold added that it could be cost efficient to offer an orientation process as compared to the traditional oral exam process. Dr. Ross expressed his gratitude for the consideration and he agreed to return during a future meeting with more details on how this process might best proceed and how frequently an orientation process could be offered.

ASPPB 2008 Annual Meeting Summary

Dr. Shroder asked to begin the ASPPB meeting report given her presence at the meeting and her interest in the issue related to supervisor competencies. She listed a number of jurisdictions which have requirements for continuing education and/or academic coursework for those licensees wishing to provide training supervision to licensure candidates. She encouraged the Board to consider mandatory CE for those wanting to register supervisees. There was brief discussion about the importance of determining if such a requirement would be limited to Psychological Training Supervision or whether it should extend to those providing Psychological Work Supervision.

Dr. Ross reviewed some highlights from the ASPPB Annual Meeting of Delegates, including a description of the fledgling Credentials Verification Bank and his interest in supporting that process so that the Board would accept credentials verification from ASPPB. He pointed out that his attendance at ASPPB meetings is made possible by the reimbursement of expenses by ASPPB, given his election as a Committee Chair. He also noted that Dr. Shroder was given access to the Board's annual waiver of conference registration for the jurisdictional delegate and she was reimbursed for travel by ASPPB through the financial assistance program. Dr. Ross expressed his relief that such assistance was possible, given the current out-of-state travel controls, as the assistance allows the Board to maintain a presence in ASPPB and to remain current on critical issues confronting the regulation of psychology.

Autistic Spectrum Services/Applied Behavior Analysis: Update

Dr. Ross asked Dr. Arnold to provide an update on the Board's ABA Taskforce, which met on September 12, 2008. Dr. Arnold reported that he and Dr. Ross were joined by stakeholders from the Autism community, including Dr. James A. Bryant, Director, Ohio Department of Health; Dr. Jackie Wynn, Nationwide Children's Hospital; Dr. David Hammer, Ohio State University; Kevin Aldridge, Ohio Department of MR/DD; Dr. Georgia Backus, Youngstown State University; and, Lauren Phelps, Ohio Department of Job and Family Services.

He described the meeting, which resulted in the Taskforce supporting a process whereby the Board would eventually promulgate rules that would identify Applied Behavior Analysis as a hazardous practice requiring that the provider be either a competent licensee or a supervisee of such a licensee. Initial discussions in the Taskforce meeting were supportive of processes that would exempt schools with ABA providers approved through ODE, but that would require a strategy focused on the Board regulating the provision of ABA in other settings, including home-based services under the Autism Scholarship. Dr. Arnold also explained some tentative plans to develop a training institute, perhaps to be administered by the OPA, in order to ensure that there are sufficient competent psychologists available to supervise ABA services. He also explained possible plans to work with the Behavior Analyst Certification Board relative to qualifications for being a supervised provider of ABA services in Ohio.

Dr. Arnold explained that the next step will be to have the Board review a draft statement that will be sent to the Taskforce for review before being disseminated to a wider audience of stakeholders through the Interagency Workgroup on Autism.

Members of the Board, led by Ms. Mattson and Dr. Shroder, asked Dr. Arnold if he would be willing to continue in his role as chair of the Taskforce, considering that this is his last meeting of the Board. Dr. Harmon concurred with the need for Dr. Arnold's expertise and leadership in this area. Dr. Arnold expressed gratitude for the request, and he agreed to see this process through to its conclusion. Dr. Harmon, as President, declared that the Board was delegating to Dr. Arnold the role as Chair of the Taskforce. Dr. Arnold emphasized that he would continually update Dr. Ross or the Board directly with the processes being undertaken, and that he will defer to the Board relative to adopting and implementing any policy or rule changes that might result from activity of the Taskforce and the Interagency Workgroup. Dr. Arnold received thanks from those present, given his willingness to volunteer his time on the important issue.

12:30 PM LUNCH RECESS AND STAFF RECOGNITION

1:30 PM PUBLIC SESSION RESUMED

Dr. Williams called roll:

Dr. Burlew	Absent
Ms. Mattson	Present
Dr. Shroder	Present
Ms. Lanctot	Present
Dr. Arnold	Present
Dr. Woodrow	Present
Dr. Williams	Present
Dr. Harmon	Present
Mr. Keller	Present

Dr. Harmon immediately called a recess in order to conduct a public rules hearing.

1:40 PM RULES HEARING ADJOURNED; BOARD REMAINED IN PUBLIC SESSION

Dr. Harmon then solicited a motion to enter Executive Session. Dr. Arnold moved that the Board enter Executive Session for the purpose of discussing pending legal issues; Mr. Keller second.

Dr. Williams conducted a roll call vote:

Dr. Burlew	Absent
Dr. Shroder	Yes
Ms. Lanctot	Yes
Dr. Williams	Yes
Dr. Harmon	Yes
Dr. Woodrow	Yes
Ms. Mattson	Yes
Dr. Arnold	Yes
Mr. Keller	Yes

1:40 PM EXECUTIVE SESSION BEGAN

2:10 PM PUBLIC SESSION RESUMED

Dr. Williams called roll:

Dr. Burlew	Absent
Ms. Mattson	Present
Dr. Shroder	Present
Ms. Lanctot	Present
Dr. Arnold	Present
Dr. Woodrow	Present
Dr. Williams	Present
Dr. Harmon	Present
Mr. Keller	Present

Dr. Harmon asked for a motion to approve "Consent Agreement 1" presented for consideration in Executive Session. Dr. Shroder offered a motion to approve Consent Agreement 1; Ms. Lanctot second.

A vote was taken:

Aye: Ms. Lanctot; Mr. Keller; Ms. Mattson; Dr. Williams; Dr. Arnold; Dr. Shroder
Nay: None
Abstain: Dr. Woodrow

The motion carried.

Dr. Harmon asked for a motion to approve "Consent Agreement 2" presented for consideration in Executive Session. Dr. Shroder offered a motion to approve Consent Agreement 2; Ms. Lanctot second.

A vote was taken:

Aye: Ms. Lanctot; Mr. Keller; Ms. Mattson; Dr. Woodrow; Dr. Arnold; Dr. Shroder
Nay: None
Abstain: Dr. Williams

The motion carried.

Dr. Harmon asked for a motion to approve the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing presented for consideration in Executive Session. Dr. Arnold offered a motion to approve the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing; Dr. Williams second.

A vote was taken:

Aye: Ms. Lanctot; Mr. Keller; Ms. Mattson; Dr. Williams; Dr. Arnold; Dr. Shroder
Nay: None
Abstain: Dr. Woodrow

The motion carried.

At Dr. Harmon's invitation, the investigators reported that the subjects of the actions just approved were:

Consent Agreement 1: Patricia A. Gillette, Ph.D. of Ashtabula
Consent Agreement 2: Jan Renae Norton, Psy.D. of Cincinnati
Notice of Opportunity for Hearing: John W. Mize, Ph.D. of Parma Heights

Dr. Ross asked for a moment to advise the Board that the Board's parking contract at the Huntington Center garage, which allows Dr. Ross to park there, is being terminated by Standard Parking in order to make room for new tenants in the Huntington Building. He expressed thanks for the privilege and the Board's ongoing willingness to expend funds for this purpose, and he reported that he would likely move to the City Center Garage, the closet and most cost effective parking option in the Capital Square area.

Dr. Harmon asked for a motion to adjourn and Ms. Mattson so moved; Dr. Williams second

2:20 PM Dr. Harmon adjourned the meeting based on consensus of the Board.

[SIGNED COPY ON FILE IN THE BOARD OFFICE]

Ronald R. Ross, Ph.D.
Executive Director

Julie A. Harmon, Ph.D.
President

Next scheduled business meetings: March 4, 2009 10:30 AM
June 10, 2009 10:30 AM